

Minutes

Of a Meeting of the Crime Prevention and Community Well-Being Advisory Committee of the City of Kenora Friday, January 19th, 2024 – In Person 9:00am

 $\mathsf{Filldy}_{\mathsf{f}} \mathsf{Jdilldiy} \mathsf{15}^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{f}} \mathsf{2024} \mathsf{-} \mathsf{111} \mathsf{FerSUI} \mathsf{5}\mathsf{10}\mathsf{0}\mathsf{111}$

With:	Cynthia MacDougall Jodelle Maksymchuk	Jeff Dugan Jill Hager	Denise Forsyth Jared Olsen	Darcia Curtis Logan Haney
	Anita Cameron 9:15	Shannon Bailey	Taras Manzie	Regan Dearborn
Staff:	Kyle Attanasio, CAO Heather Pihulak – City Clerk Mayor Andrew Poirier		Eric Shih – CSWB Coordinator Christiane Sadeler - Consultant Danica Farion – Executive Assistant	
Regrets:	C. Bob Bernie			

With	Haley Pentek	Jim Neild
Alternates:		

Agenda Item Record of Remarks	Action
1. Call to Order and Land Acknowledgement	
D. Curtis called the meeting to order at 9:01 am and delivered a land acknowledgement.	
2. Declaration of Pecuniary Interest & General Nature Thereof	
None.	
3. Additions to and Approval of Agenda	
No additions, agenda approved as present.	Approved
4. Approval of Consent Agenda	
Chair Curtis delivered an absent members' comment on consent agenda items. She noted she will address the first concern regarding unsafe meetings under item 6. The second item was with respect to the risk data and the opinion that it is not an accurate representation. Chair Curtis reminded the table that the risk factors data is comprised from input from this Committee at the last meeting.	Approved
Moved By R. Dearborn Seconded By S. Bailey, and Carried – That the Consent Agenda be approved as presented without objection.	



5. Approval of Minutes from Previous Meeting		
Moved By L. Haney, Seconded By C. MacDougall and Caried – That the Minutes of the Crime Prevention and Community Well-Being Advisory Committee held on Friday, December 15 th , 2023, be confirmed as written and filed.	Approved	
6. Co-Chair Comments – Committee Collaboration		
Chair Curtis shared that as new Chairs her and Vice-Chair D. Forsyth have been asked how they would like to chair these meetings. D. Forsyth made it clear this table has a responsibility to bring healthy and respectful conversations forward, to think there will be no conflict is naïve. Each organization comes with their mission, vision, and values and sometimes those don't align with one another, same that City Councillor members need to represent their constituents. She continued that the Committee members have respectful engagement and questioned whether the table wanted to build a bridge or blow it up. She stated that the members need to be challenged, and that the work isn't easy, conflict can fuel growth in a constructive way. As a committee we cannot shy away from difficult conversations, we need to drill down and make things happen. Chair Curtis noted that the Committee has been presented with a very big task, everyone at the table needs to be heard. In the past the community has been stuck on how we got here, this table needs to leave that and not shift people's perspectives but consider them and respect them. She noted that the Chairs have heard the feedback that not everyone has a voice, and they will be adjusting the way discussions are facilitated to ensure this is addressed. She continued that members need to check ourselves and ensure we are delivering ourselves respectfully but also that we are reflecting on what others are saying. Feeback from the table is positive about this message.	No Action	
Anita Cameron arrived at 9:15am 7. Community of Practice Agenda for 2024		
 E. Shih shared that he has assembled some suggestions for the year. Chair Curits made note that she envisions independent learning from members on their own time and that we would utilize Community of Practice for areas and topics that can assist in advancing the Committee's work. D. Forsyth explained that there is mental health and addiction training available to those should they want to pursue. S. Bailey questioned how far in advance is this schedule being set, if there are suggestions or ideas, how and when those get brough forward. E. Shih clarified that the suggestions go through him, he added that the topics need to align with what stage we are at in the plan. He added that Aces should be considered. S. Bailey noted that cultural learning as a group would be an effective opportunity to build relationships here to do the hard work. She elaborated that learning about historical impacts, multi-level generational trauma could be beneficial. J. Hager commented that this is important but could this be an independent learning opportunity to not take time from meeting time. Councillor Manson added she would like to see the KDCC added to the list of suggested speakers. Eric noted that on the TEAMS group there is an area for Knowledge Exchange, he directed members to review this area and add things that they bring from their professional spaces. He asked the table to provide feedback independently and will have this as part of the consent agenda moving forward to keep track. 	Committee members to provide feedback on Community of Practice topics for 2024	



8. Vulnerability Definition

C. Sadeler shared her screen with the following definition to share with the Committee:	
While everyone is vulnerable to crime, victimization and fear of crime, some persons share characteristics and circumstances that put them at greater risk of harm when compared to the rest of the population. These characteristics and circumstances are often beyond individual control. The community of Kenora and its institutions have the potential to decrease some of the conditions that put residents at greater risk of harm or fear of harm, thereby minimizing or altogether eliminating these vulnerabilities. This can be accomplished through collaboratively focusing on risk and protective factors and through adjusting services to meet clearly identified needs. Within this work, real risk must always be distinguished from perceived risk.	No Action
Councillor Manson noted that she does not see the difference between real risk and perceived risk, risk is risk. Christiane explained that this was an addition that came from committee work. Chair Curtis explained that the Committee chose to separate the two to better address data.	
Table in agreement with this definition, will use this moving forward.	
9. Community Safety Assessment Process	
 C. Sadeler shared a presentation regarding the model for the Committee's planning process. She walked through the intention and timeline of what her goals are for this process. There are several types of community engagement and stakeholder consultation she has planned. She elaborated on the 'field study' and explained she has a colleague who comes to the community and collects data for improvement via CPTED lens. She is hopeful that CPTED gen 1 and gen 2 can be explored as a community of practice topic as well. She explained that the survey should be repeated over time and used to establish growth or stagnancy. Once all data is compiled it will be the Committee's responsibility to look at this data and move forward with a plan. She reviewed the common categories that the plan will cover once established. She presented a timeline with a 'we are here' indication for the Committee to understand the big picture. 	
Roundtable Discussions:	
Councillor Manson \rightarrow still curious about the Committee's communication plan to the community. E. Shih explained that administration has sent out the first social media post to let the community know they can find Committee information on the City's website. He continued that administration will also be introducing the Committee members via the website. There is a strategy to recap action items in advance of the minutes going out so the public can have an overview of what was accomplished at each meeting. H. Pihulak added that it will be more in depth than just the minutes being posted, administration has had lengthy conversations on how this will be presented. There will be pointed information referenced on the site that will highlight where the Committee is at.	
 T. Manzie → questioned whether the survey is capturing what area people are living in as perspectives will differ based off this criteria. C. Sadeler confirmed that yes that is captured. K. Attanasio made note that postal codes will be very limited in distinguishing neighbourhoods, and we will need a different metric. 	



L. Haney \rightarrow the survey needs to be in accessible format and needs to be open to not just homeowners. E. Shih answered that there will be paper copies available, and H. Pihulak added the City has different strategies to engage with all community members. L. Haney offered her assistance with survey administration if required.	
D. Forsyth \rightarrow questioned whether the timeline will be made available. C. Sadeler noted it will be provided again with the minutes of this meeting. Chair Curtis made it clear she doesn't want them buried in minutes or emailed; she wants them in the TEAMS group. D. Forsyth suggested that administration have a kiosk available in general locations for people to complete the survey, utilize QR codes possibly as well. T. Manzie noted he can assist with this to get a good wide perspective.	
A. Cameron \rightarrow stated she likes what she is hearing so far.	
J. Hager \rightarrow suggested adding an incentive for completing the survey, C. Sadeler agreed that survey fatigue is a real thing, commonly people can add their name after completing the survey to enter a draw for a prize such as a gift certificate to a local business or something of the sort; she concluded that she will take this back to the Project Team.	
10. Protective Factor List Input	
E. Shih circulated the protective factor list. Chair Curtis reminded the table that the last meeting, members broke out in smaller groups to do this with more in-depth discussion. Today we are asking individuals to complete their lists and provide them back to E. Shih to correlate the data.	Return Input to E. Shih
Break from 10:10am – 10:24am	
11. Community Safety Survey	
C. Sadeler reviewed the proposed survey with the Committee for feedback. She provided insight into why the questions on the survey are on there, too many qualitative questions are not productive, these questions are geared towards identifying why there may be concerns or fears. She explained the strategy for the order of questions as there are certain questions that may be more difficult to address, she has included a trigger warning to ensure folks are aware that this may cause some sensitivities.	
The survey will be circulated to all Committee members as a trial; C. Sadeler noted that she will circulate questions with the survey for members to think of while completing the survey to ensure this is an effective process.	All Members to complete survey and provide
Roundtable Discussions:	feedback
Councillor Manson \rightarrow suggested indicating neighbourhoods over postal codes and added that "other: should capture participants who are not local to Kenora J. Hager \rightarrow piggybacked on Councillor Manson's comment and suggested the survey differentiate between whether permanent resident, seasonal, visitor etc. K. Attanasio added,	



Crime Prevention and Community Well-Being Advisory Committee Meeting

E. Shih noted these will also be available as a paper copy and that we will consider incentive to encourage participation. The Project Team will collect feedback and ensure the survey gets out to the public near the end of January.	
12. Community of Practice: Youth Justice Centre – R. Senior	-
Entirety of presentation was moved to Closed Meeting Session.	No Action
13. Adjourn to Closed Meeting	
Moved By J. Dugan, Seconded By T. Manzie, and Carried - That pursuant to Section 239 of the Municipal Act, 2001, as amended, authorization will be provided for Committee to move into a Closed Session to discuss items pertaining to the following: - 10:38am Educating & Training Members of Committee (1 matter - Youth Justice Centre Presentation)	No Action
14. Reconvene to Open Meeting	
Committee reconvenes to open session at 11:20a.m. with no reports from the closed session. The Committee heard information pertaining to the Youth Justice Centre under the permitted purpose of Educating & Training members of the Committee. The Committee did not materially advance any business during this closed session.	No Action
15. Meeting Evaluation Round Table	
In an effort to ensure efficiency in meetings and to avoid ad hoc conversations, the Chairs have provided an opportunity for members to provide their feedback on how today's meeting went. <i>Roundtable Discussions:</i> J. Hager → provided no comment. Councillor Manson → shared that she is pleased to hear that there will be uncomfortable conversations and that we are moving forward respectively, she added that Harbourtown biz should be consulted during the survey process. J. Neild → noted that he is excited for transparent conversations. C. MacDougall → agreed that today's meeting went well. H. Pentek → thought the presentation was fantastic as she has lived in Kenora her whole life and is happy to learn more about what services are happening in our town. T. Manzie → meeting was great, discussions were good, presentation was helpful and informative, would like to see a holistic model brought towards all matters concerning justice. L. Haney → she mirrored the same sentiments shared already and is looking forward to doing the survey, she thanked the Chair and Vice-Chair for the planning they put into this to ensure smooth meeting. S. Bailey → she noted that she thought back to what brought her here, listening to deputation a year ago and wanting to be a part of solution especially from youth perspective. She really appreciates the new operating model; the presentation has made her think about what her role is in the Committee and what she brings back to the Committee. She also appreciates C. Sadeler keeping to the timeline and is leaving today's meeting with a sense of hope. J. Olson → felt the meeting was efficient and went well, discussions were good.	No Action



J. Maksymchuk \rightarrow noted she was happy the meeting time is now extended as to not feel rush and thanked the Chairs for keeping meeting on track.			
	J. Duggan \rightarrow is looking forward to next meeting and moving forward with this work. R. Dearborn \rightarrow stated that the Committee picked the perfect Chairs and presentation was		
great.	a the commute picked the perfect chairs and presentation was		
0	e Committee members for their participation and felt there was a lot		
	pices, she thanked the Chair.		
D. Curtis \rightarrow appreciated t	he presentation and noted that it provided a good indication of		
partnership, really respec things differently than the	ting the indigenous perspective and how our community can do y have been done.		
U	that he continues to hear in the community is we all know our own		
	vely struggle to project that in the community, this Committee will		
	ork stories as a collective body, he is looking forward to hearing		
more good work.			
	reciated the presentation because she was around when it was		
	struggling to see the big picture, seeing it summarized has been		
helpful and how their mechanics can be applied to what we are doing here. Appreciate the			
roundtable opportunity so that we can hear from everyone, and she is really encouraged with how things are going.			
E. Shih \rightarrow stated he was very pleased and felt like everyone was engaged in the meeting and			
discussions, he enjoyed hearing the presentation. He is excited to let the community know			
that things are happening.			
C. Sadeler – said she appreciates working with this group and loves the roundtable			
discussions as she gets to here from everyone.			
16. New Business			
None.			
17. Adjournment	Next Meeting Date/Time: February 16 th @ 9:00am		
rr. Aujournment	Adjourned: 11:32am		



Brief Recap of CSWB Plan Development Steps

Crime Prevention and Community Wellbeing Advisory Committee

Meeting of January 19, 2024

Model CSWB PLANNING PROCESS



REVIEW EXISTING DATA

Examples:

- Census data (Statistics Canada)
- Crime and fear of crime
- Health (addictions, mental health)
- Homelessness
- Justice system
- Intimate partner violence
- Low-income households
- Educational attainment
- Data sources identified by Committee at December and January meetings

Opportunities for COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT





CSWB SURVEY

Resident Feedback

Topics tend to include:

- Demographic Information
- Sense of Well-Being
- Sense of Safety
- Substance Use
- Democratic Engagement
- Perceptions of Crime
- Victimization Experiences





Changing Perceptions 2011 Waterloo Region Area Survey



Fear of Crime in Waterloo Region and Beyond: Crime Prevention and Social Capital

Re-Examined









DATA TRIANGULATION





CSWB PLAN

8 98	Foundational Agreements
Q	Findings
~	Priorities
¥111	Actions
\mathbf{O}	Implementation and Governance



MONITORING AND EVALUATION

MONITORING: ARE WE DOING WHAT WE SAID WE WOULD DO?

EVALUATION: IS IT ACHIEVING THE OUTCOMES WE AIMED FOR?

WHAT IS THE IMPACT ON THE COMMUNITY?

/////

Community Safety & Well-Being Plan Work Plan Timeline



We are here

